To: Craig, Steve, Mike, Darlene, Inga, Latika, Brandyn and Janet

From: Steve Cohn
Date: June 30, 2023

Subject: Tax Funding of MOFD

This is my annual "how MOFD is making Orinda less safe" letter. Darlene has seen this eight times; Craig seven, Steve five, and the rest of you, this may be the first time.

The Contra Costa County Assessor just released the property assessments for 2023/24. Orinda's total assessed value increased 5.67% this year to \$9.38 billion while Moraga's increased 5.48% to \$5.55 billion. The effect of this on the funding of MOFD, as shown in the attached tables, Orinda is paying \$2.43 million per firefighter serving Orinda, while Moraga is paying 42% less, only \$1.41 million.

This is despite the fact that they are served by the same number of firefighters per capita (1) and thus receive the same service. The entire (and I do mean entire) reason MOFD was formed was so that local tax dollars would be used locally, not elsewhere in the County. And that meant anywhere elsewhere in the County including Orinda taxes being used in Moraga.

The Orinda City Council was very specific about this rationale for forming the district from their statements in the voters' pamphlet. The Moraga Town Council had the same concern but was a little less specific, seeing as none of the council members opined in their voters' pamphlet, but they took actions to make sure Orinda paid its own way and did not rely on taxes from Moraga.

The main action that Moraga took to make sure that Moraga tax dollars were only used in Moraga was to force Orinda to create a parcel tax to fund its equipment deficit (over 2 million) coming into the partnership. This was despite the fact that at formation Orinda taxes were already \$4.6 million (\$515,000 per firefighter stationed in Orinda) while Moraga's were only \$3.3 million (\$420,000 per firefighter stationed in Moraga, including their parcel tax).

The only other mechanism put in place to preserve the proper use of Orinda's and Moraga's tax dollars in Orinda and Moraga respectively, was that the MOFD board would be elected by division, not at large.

MOFD is not "one big happy family" as some erroneously believe. It is broken into five divisions, each with its own representative. It was obvious to the framers that there would be competing resources and needs coming from and required by each division. Currently Craig and Mike represent about 7,300 Orinda residents each, Steve represents 4,800 Orinda residents and 2,500 Moraga residents, while John Jex and Greg Hasler represent about 7,300 Moraga residents each. The directors representing Orinda were supposed to do what was best for Orinda residents while those representing Moraga were supposed to do what was best for Moraga residents. Steve's duty to Orinda or Moraga is split, but with 2/3 of his division in Orinda, I would hope Orinda would "win" a split decision if there were competing needs and resources.

In total, including parcel tax, Orinda is paying MOFD \$21.8 million for service that is only costing it \$17.5 million. This \$4.3 million overpayment is going to subsidize the cost of Moraga's service.

This was never supposed to happen. Orinda's tax dollars were supposed to pay for service to Orinda and not elsewhere in the county, including Moraga.

By using Orinda's tax dollars in Moraga, you, our MOFD representatives, are NOT doing what is best for Orinda. You know that we have a huge wildfire risk. Tons of excess vegetation needs to be removed. While most of it is on private land, the government needs to take charge and remove it. We are paying \$4.3 million above what it costs to provide us with the service we are receiving so that MOFD can accomplish this.

This misapplication of MOFD funds has been going on for two decades. It gets worse every year. In 2017/18, Craig's first year, the "subsidy" was about \$2 million. It has more than doubled in six years. And it will continue to increase. At some point some Orinda Council is going to say "enough is enough", as the 1996 Council told the County, and they will find service elsewhere. Lafayette has the same three stations and nine firefighters as Orinda in addition to ambulance service from AMR, and it pays the county about \$16 million, not \$22 million. Orinda can do better, a lot better. Someday the populace will understand that they are being taken for a ride and demand change. You should seriously consider getting ahead of the mob.

(1) 9 firefighters stationed in Orinda and serving Orinda's population of 19,483 equates to 2,165 residents per firefighter. 8 firefighters stationed in Moraga and serving Moraga's and Canyon's population of 17,100 equates to 2,138 residents per firefighter. Both communities are served by the same number of firefighters per capita. Yes, they provide each other with mutual aid, but it is approximately equal and reciprocal.

Detail of MOFD Property Tax Revenue 2023/24

								Number	Percent	Tax	Tax Paid			
	Rate			% of	Fire Flow	Total		Of	Of	Allocated	in Excess			
	(1)	Assessed Value (2)	Ad Valorem Tax	total	Parcel Tax(3)	Property Tax		Firefighters	Firefighters	by Percent	of		Tax per	
								Staioned	Staioned	Stationed	Tax Allocated	population(4)	Capita	
MOFD Total	19.942%	15,101,658,325	32,047,009		1,105,000	33,152,009								
Orinda + Moraga	21.220%	15,095,993,153	32,033,938	100%	1,105,000	33,138,938	100.0%	17	100.0%	33,138,938		36,587	906	
Tax Rate Area								1,949,349 per firefighter						
Orinda + Unincorporated	22.602%	9,391,809,345	21,227,776	66.3%	619,953	21,847,729	65.9%	9 \$2,427,525	52.9%	17,544,144	4,303,585	19,483 2,165	1,121 59% gre	eater than Moraga
Incorporated Orinda		9,378,490,455						per firefighter				residents	•-	
18001	22.947%	8,422,419,001	19,327,018		541,938	19,868,956						per firefighter		
18003	24.192%	3,177,923	7,688		139	7,827								
18007	18.212%	188,867,353	343,961		12,368	356,329								
18012	20.054%	711,986,513	1,427,798		61,304	1,489,101								
18025	19.782%	1,469,873	2,908		82	2,989								
18034	13.768%	3,137,700	4,320		76	4,397								
18037	13.768%	28,684,454	39,494		1,987	41,481								
18038	22.836%	18,483,292	42,208		1,446	43,654								
18039	19.687%	264,345	520		35	556								
14015					242									
Unincorporated Orinda	18.900%	13,318,890	31,862		335	32,197								
83003 Fish Ranch / Tunnel / Grizzley	23.803%	7,432,239	17,691		284	17,975								
83006	24.130%	4,460,826	10,764		-	1,,,,,								
83037	23.893%	1,425,824	3,407		51	3,458								
Managa I Hainaanna aatad						44 004 000								
Moraga + Unincorporated	18.826%	5,704,183,808	10,806,162	33.7%	485,047	11,291,209	34.1%	\$ \$1,411,401	47.1%	15,594,794	(4,303,585)	17,104	660	
Incorporated Moraga	18.921%	5,549,989,954	10,498,951		472,364	10,971,315		per firefighter				16,624		
15002	18.837%	2,517,253,269	4,741,758		233,040	4,974,797		72%	of MOFD's co	st		2,138		
15003	18.700%	104,491,021	195,399		9,200	204,599		58%	of Orinda's co	ost		residents		
15004	19.003%	2,682,809,534	5,098,087		214,387	5,312,474						per firefighter		
15005	19.304%	2,532,082	4,888		-	4,888								
15006	18.874%	217,759,940	411,005		14,147	425,152								
15008	19.151%	2,422,574	4,639		255	4,895								
15010	19.272%	8,603,797	16,582		441	17,023								
15011	18.837%	14,117,737	26,594		893	27,487								
Unincorporated Moraga	16.695%	154,193,854	307,212		12,682	319,894						480		
14000			-		25	25								
61000 Canyon	20.817%	27,938,016	58,157		3,684	61,841								
61002 Canyon	20.288%	141,071	286		61	348								
77001 S Moraga	20.329%	2,272,523	4,620		425	5,045								
77005 S Moraga	20.019%	1,722,558	3,448		258	3,706								
77006 S Moraga	19.254%	19,060,628	36,698		783	37,482								
77007 S Moraga	19.692%	112,363	221		104	325								
77011 Bollinger Cyn, Mor	20.160%	19,343,908	38,997		1,457	40,454								
77012 Bollinger Cyn, Mor	19.526%	47,282,917	92,326		3,398	95,724								
77014 S Moraga	19.393%	27,354,631	53,050		1,692	54,741								
77016 S Moraga	19.844%	308,766	613		80	693								
83031 On Skyline, N of Pinehurst technically, Orinda	21.712%	8,656,474	18,795		715	19,510								
Unincorporated - service area unclear		5,665,172	13,071			13,071								
83015 ???	22.891%	3,455	8		None	8								
83019 ???	23.073%	5,612,923	12,950		None	12,950								
83045 Diablo Dr (Skyliine)	21.131%	724	2		None	2								
83046 Diablo Dr (Skyliine)	21.290%	13,339	28		None	28								
85063 ???	23.751%	34,731	82		None	82								

⁽¹⁾ From Contra Costa Auditor-Controller

²⁾ From Contra Costa Auditor-Controller for 2023/24

⁽³⁾ From MOFD Budget Assumption for 2023/24

https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/orindacitycalifornia https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/moragatowncalifornia

MOFD Property Tax Revenue Allocation History

(all costs in \$1,000's)

				Ad V	Ad Valorem Taxes				Total Property Tax		Firefighters per Shift			Tax Paid vs.		tax paid firefighter				
		Property Tax Base		1	to MOFD		Fire Flow	Fire Flow Parcel Tax Orinda %			Orinda +	Orinda	Moraga	Orinda +	Tax Al	ocated	Orinda	Moraga	Average	
		Orinda (d)	Moraga (d)	Orinda	Orinda %	Moraga	Orinda	Moraga	Orinda	of Total	Moraga	Moraga			Moraga					
FYE				22.6%	of Total	19.0%										Orinda	Moraga			
1997		2,049,534 (a)	1,540,961 (b)	4,632	61.3%	2,921		426	5,166		3,347	8,514								
1998	1	2,138,163 (a)	1,595,923 (a)	4,833	61.5%	3,025	534	426	5,367	60.9%	3,451	8,818	9	8	17	698	(698)	596	431	519
1999	2	2,297,859 (b)	1,695,143 (b)	5,194	61.8%	3,213	534	426	5,728	61.1%	3,639	9,367	9	8	17	769	(769)	636	455	551
2000	3	2,469,482 (b)	1,800,531 (b)	5,581	62.1%	3,413	492	393	6,074	61.5%	3,806	9,879	9	8	17	843	(843)	675	476	581
2001	4	2,653,923 (b)	1,912,472 (b)	5,998	62.3%	3,625	492	393	6,490	61.8%	4,018	10,508	9	8	17	927	(927)	721	502	618
2002	5	2,852,140 (b)	2,031,372 (b)	6,446	62.6%	3,851	492	393	6,938	62.1%	4,243	11,182	9	8	17	1,019	(1,019)	771	530	658
2003	6	3,065,161 (b)	2,157,664 (b)	6,928	62.9%	4,090	492	393	7,420	62.3%	4,483	11,903	9	8	17	1,118	(1,118)	824	560	700
2004	7	3,294,092 (b)	2,291,807 (b)	7,445	63.2%	4,344	492	393	7,937	62.6%	4,737	12,674	9	8	17	1,227	(1,227)	882	592	746
2005	8	3,540,122 (b)	2,434,291 (b)	8,001	63.4%	4,615	492	393	8,493	62.9%	5,007	13,501	9	8	17	1,346	(1,346)	944	626	794
2006	9	3,804,527 (b)	2,585,633 (b)	8,599	63.7%	4,901	492	393	9,091	63.2%	5,294	14,385	9	8	17	1,475	(1,475)	1,010	662	846
2007	10	4,117,186 (a)	2,772,177 (a)	9,306	63.9%	5,255	534	426	9,840	63.4%	5,681	15,521	9	8	17	1,623	(1,623)	1,093	710	913
2008	11	4,363,982 (a)	2,927,948 (a)	9,863	64.0%	5,550	492	393	10,355	63.5%	5,943	16,298	11	8	19	920	(920)	941	743	858
2009	12	4,574,166 (a)	3,028,052 (a)	10,338	64.3%	5,740	593	473	10,932	63.8%	6,213	17,145	11	8	19	1,006	(1,006)	994	777	902
2010	13	4,820,798 (a)	3,084,196 (a)	10,896	65.1%	5,846	592	473	11,488	64.5%	6,319	17,807	11	8	19	1,179	(1,179)	1,044	790	937
2011	14	4,803,698 (a)	3,031,007 (a)	10,857	65.4%	5,746	594	474	11,451	64.8%	6,220	17,671	11	8	19	1,221	(1,221)	1,041	777	930
2012	15	4,656,025 (a)	3,047,285 (a)	10,523	64.6%	5,777	594	474	11,117	64.0%	6,250	17,368	11	8	19	1,062	(1,062)	1,011	781	914
2013	16	4,704,822 (a)	3,073,154 (a)	10,634	64.6%	5,826	595	475	11,228	64.1%	6,300	17,529	11	8	19	1,080	(1,080)	1,021	788	923
2014	17	4,983,597 (a)	3,258,726 (a)	11,264	64.6%	6,177	596	476	11,860	64.1%	6,653	18,513	9	8	17	2,059	(2,059)	1,318	832	1,089
2015	18	5,393,744 (a)	3,515,487 (a)	12,191	64.7%	6,664	594	474	12,785	64.2%	7,138	19,923	9	8	17	2,237	(2,237)	1,421	892	1,172
2016	19	5,842,379 (a)	3,722,051 (a)	13,789	66.2%	7,052	595	475	14,385	65.6%	7,527	21,912	9	8	17	2,784	(2,784)	1,598	941	1,289
2017	20	6,207,924 (a)	3,937,547 (a)	14,020	65.3%	7,461	597	475	14,617	64.8%	7,936	22,552	9	8	17	2,677	(2,677)	1,624	992	1,327
2018	21	6,545,278 (a)	4,156,072 (a)	14,781	65.2%	7,875	599	475	15,380	64.8%	8,350	23,730	9	8	17	2,817	(2,817)	1,709	1,044	1,396
2019	22	6,910,946 (a)	4,369,060 (a)	15,610	65.3%	8,277	606	474	16,216	64.9%	8,752	24,967	9	8	17	2,998	(2,998)	1,802	1,094	1,469
2020	23	7,393,957 (a)	4,625,720 (a)	16,701	65.6%	8,764	611	477	17,312	65.2%	9,240	26,552	9	8	17	3,255	(3,255)	1,924	1,155	1,562
2021	24	7,772,808 (a)	4,860,210 (a)	17,556	65.6%	9,208	616	479	18,172	65.2%	9,687	27,859	9	8	17	3,423	(3,423)	2,019	1,211	1,639
2022	25	8,165,502 (a)	5,054,513 (a)	18,443	65.8%	9,576	616	479	19,059	65.5%	10,055	29,114	9	8	17	3,646	(3,646)	2,118	1,257	1,713
2023	26	8,874,636 (a)	5,416,522 (a)	20,045	66.1%	10,262	616	482	20,661	65.8%	10,744	31,405	9	8	17	4,035	(4,035)	2,296	1,343	1,847
2024	27	9,391,809 (a)	5,704,184 (a)	21,228	66.3%	10,806	620	485	21,848	65.9%	11,291	33,139	9	8	17	4,304	(4,304)	2,428	1,411	1,949
Through 202	4	5.8%	5.0%	5.8%		5.0%			5.5%		4.6%	5.2%				51,748	(51,748)			
Change from		+358%	+270%	+358%		+270%			+323%		+237%	+289%				,	. , -,			
Change from	1997	7,342,275	4,163,222	16,595		7,885			16,681		7,944									

⁽a) Actual

(b) Estimated

(d) Includes unincorporated areas

2,288

⁽c) Projected

Contra Costa County

Office of Assessor

2530 Arnold Drive, Suite 400 Martinez, California 94553-4359 FAX: (925) 313-7660 Telephone: (925) 313-7500 Gus S. Kramer Assessor

Sara Holman Assistant Assessor Administration



June 30, 2023

HONORABLE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Contra Costa County 1025 Escobar Street Martinez, CA 94553

Dear Board Members:

I wish to advise you that the 2023-2024 County Assessment Roll has been delivered to the County Auditor, as required by law.

The increase to the local tax base for 2023-2024 is over \$14.96 billion. This represents a 5.94% increase in assessed value and brings the total net local assessment roll to more than \$266.67 billion. The 2023-2024 assessment roll is the highest to date in Contra Costa County's history.

Cities with the largest increases in assessed value include Oakley, Antioch, and Lafayette with increases ranging up to 8.49%. San Pablo, San Ramon, and Hercules saw the lowest assessed value increases ranging from 4.30% down to 3.86%. The assessment roll now consists of 379,442 parcels, an increase of 1,202 over the previous year.

I would like to acknowledge and commend the employees of the Assessor's Office for their continued dedication and hard work which resulted in the completion and delivery of the 2023-2024 assessment roll.

Sincerely,

GUS S. KRAMER Assessor

Attachment – Assessment Roll 2023-2024 Reports

cc: Monica Nino, County Administrator

REPORT NO LF1521H.01 TAXABLE VALUES ON TH LOCAL ROLL		SUMMARY		2023-2024 X RATE AREA		E 1 /2023	
PC CTTY	SECURED VALUE	UNSECURED VALUE	GROSS	LOCAL EXEMPTIONS	NET VALUE	GAIN/LOSS	%CHG
01 ANTIOCH 02 CONCORD 03 EL CERRITO 04 HERCULES 05 MARTINEZ 06 PINOLE 07 PITTSBURG 08 RICHMOND 09 WALNUT CREEK 10 BRENTWOOD 11 SAN PABLO 12 PLEASANT HILL 13 CLAYTON 14 LAFAYETTE 15 MORAGA 16 DANVILLE 17 SAN RAMON	VALUE 15,640,310,245 22,427,918,141 5,888,030,239 4,742,032,510 7,607,816,846 3,191,589,360 8,881,170,992 19,199,538,300 25,877,868,081 13,604,277,761 2,552,043,089 8,174,758,395 2,827,088,215 11,340,006,897 5,789,528,878 17,208,820,636 27,328,799,646	VALUE 276,073,953 680,772,390 49,340,705 70,491,928 246,926,097 64,325,732 1,404,853,295 1,208,416,406 658,796,874 54,630,824 127,197,537 44,473,386 69,771,095 27,292,843 114,214,946 422,280,845	15,916,384,198 23,108,690,931 5,937,370,944 4,812,524,438 7,854,742,943 3,255,915,902 10,286,024,287 20,407,954,706 26,536,724,561 13,783,074,635 2,606,673,913 8,301,955,932 2,871,561,601 11,409,777,992 5,816,821,721 17,323,035,582 27,751,080,491 9,443,400,621 7,065,371,856	1,075,279,938 1,022,625,364 122,089,533 106,265,724 197,922,953 54,861,172 394,814,493 1,373,120,426 1,995,372,993 201,554,845 124,722,147 134,275,821 31,206,361 134,158,871 266,831,767	14,841,104,260 22,086,065,167 5,815,281,411 4,706,258,714 7,656,819,990 3,201,053,920 9,891,209,794 19,034,834,280 24,541,351,568 13,581,51,766 8,167,680,111 2,840,355,240 11,275,619,121 5,549,989,954 17,208,248,236 27,517,307,204 9,378,490,455	1,047,793,659 1,136,949,935 337,424,824 175,061,540 386,708,335 133,927,173 543,063,429 1,208,309,968 1,510,408,396 102,536,577 405,253,965 129,163,258 752,375,540 288,547,404 967,465,521	7.59 5.42 6.15 3.86 5.31 4.36 5.80 6.77 6.55 5.54 4.30 5.22 4.76 7.14 5.48 5.95 4.06 5.67
	9,398,786,754 6,934,554,656	130,817,200	7,065,371,856	195,825,080	6,869,546,776	538,026,916	8.49
CITY TOTALS	218,614,939,641	5,8/4,146,403	224,489,086,044	7,844,398,287	216,644,68/,/5/	11,954,717,362	5.84
53 ANTIOCH AREA 58 BRENTWOOD AREA 60 BYRON AREA 61 CANYON AREA 62 N WEST COUNTY AR 66 SAN RAMON VALLEY 68 LIVERMORE VALLEY 72 KNIGHTSEN AREA 73 LAFAYETTE AREA 74 MORAGA AREA 75 CENTRAL COUNTY 82 BETHEL IS/OAKLEY 83 ORINDA AREA 85 WEST COUNTY AREA 86 PITTSBURG AREA 98 WALNUT CREEK AR	5,643,413,113	64,505,137	29,698,499 322,047,456 4,287,439,649 26,112,878 3,301,281,018 17,912,157,371 92,418,393 880,669,409 1,061,984,226 3,242,506,438 119,639,396 6,380,166,439 897,074,464 34,619,162 6,490,903,998 91,134,930 5,707,918,250	157,364,041	3,267,799,645 17,777,407,594 92,386,599 874,435,286 1,035,961,199 3,200,811,162 119,395,836 894,692,729 34,619,162 6,317,104,674 90,513,585 5,550,554,209	382,490,864	3.96 6.69 4.42 6.37 15.11 5.06 8.65 4.29 5.03 1.58 6.81 7.16 18.95 50.22 7.04 6.38 7.40 6.39
NUN-CITY TOTALS	40,989,3/1,664	1,000,400,312	50,8//,//1,9/6	850,505,024	50,027,466,952	5,008,635,000	0.39
GRAND TOTALS	267,604,311,305	7,762,546,715	275,366,858,020	8,694,703,311	266,672,154,709	14,963,352,362	5.94